

Eastman

Pension Office  
Oct 7<sup>th</sup> 1858

Sir

Bounty land claim of Frye H. Eastman No 288,384 Act of March 3<sup>d</sup> 1855 has been examined Service is alleged in Capt David Johnsons Company Mass Mil in 1814. The Auditor reports "that Frye H Eastman entered the service in Capt Johnsons Company on the 10<sup>th</sup> of Sept 1814 and reported Deserted on the 21<sup>st</sup> of Sept 1814"

By the express provision of the Act of March 3<sup>d</sup> 1855, the Claimant is not entitled, and his claim is rejected

Very respectfully  
Geo C Whiting  
Com of Pensions

Freeman Bradford Esq  
Portland  
Maine

S.G.H.

Pension Office  
Oct 7<sup>th</sup> 1858

Sir

Bounty land claim of Frye H. Eastman, No. 288,384, Act of March 3<sup>d</sup> 1855, has been examined Service is alleged in Capt David Johnsons Company Mass Mil in 1814 The Auditor reports "that Frye H Eastman entered the service in Capt Johnson's Company on the 10<sup>th</sup> of Sept 1814 and reported Deserted on the 21<sup>st</sup> of Sept 1814."

By the express provision of the Act of March 3<sup>d</sup> 1855, the Claimant is not entitled, and his claim is rejected

Very respectfully  
Geo C Whiting  
Com of Pensions

Freeman Bradford Esq  
Portland  
Maine

S.G.H.

Contributed to Maine Memory Network by Maine Historical Society  
MMN # 100500  
Date: October 7, 1858  
Description: Frye H. Eastman War of 1812 bounty land claim

The facts in this case are thus. Capt. Johnson's Co. belonged at Harpswell on the sea shore, and as much exposed to the enemy as Bath was, and the Co. were very strongly opposed to leaving the town at all, in such a defenceless situation, but upon leaving a Serjts. Guard at home the rest went to Bath some 20 miles away, by land. On the 21<sup>st</sup> there came a report that the barges of the enemy had landed at Harpswell, & were having things their own way, with the cattle, sheep & women, and this caused such an excitement in the Co. that some dozen of them started for home, and the Capt. got mad and had them entered as Deserters, they

The facts in this case are thus. Capt. Johnson's Co. belonged at Harpswell on the sea shore and as much exposed to the enemy as Bath was, and the Co. were very strongly opposed to leaving the town at all in such a defenceless situation, but upon leaving a Serjts. Guard at home, the rest went to Bath some 20 miles away, by land. on the 21<sup>st</sup> there came a report that the barges of the enemy had landed at Harpswell, & were having things their own way with the cattle, sheep & women, and this caused such an excitement in the co. that some dozen of them started for home, and the Capt. got mad and had them entered as Deserters. they

would no doubt have returned to Bath but the Regt. & all the rest of the troops at Bath were discharged the 28<sup>th</sup> Sept.

There is record evidence of the service of F.H. Eastman at an alarm in June '14 6 days, in addition to the service given herein. I can prove by parol that the above is the true history of the desertions in Capt. Johnson's Co. I have only to one more case like this one. What do you think of them.

Frye H. Eastman

Frye H. Eastman  
Oct 7 1858

Case not good. The Act of 1855 bars the claim & they will not allow you discredit the rolls.  
J.T.S.

would no doubt have returned to Bath but the Regt. & all the rest of the troops at Bath were discharged the 28<sup>th</sup> Sept.

There is record evidence of the service of F.H. Eastman at an alarm in June '14 6 days, in addition to the service given herein. I can prove by parol that the above is the true history of the desertions in Capt. Johnson's co. I have only one more case like this one. What do you think of them

[sideways at right]  
Frye H. Eastman

Case not good. The Act of 1855 bars the claim & they will not allow you discredit the rolls.  
J.T.S.